UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,120	05/01/2006	Joe D'Silva	07540-00005-USU	5033
	7590 03/03/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		WAGGONER, TIMOTHY R		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/578,120	D'SILVA, JOE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practic	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-18,22 and 23 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 19-21,24 and 25 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/01/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "1-6" has been used to designate both components of the subcombination and components of the combination. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the capsules, means for consolidation, databases, verification means, etc... must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

Application/Control Number: 10/578,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 9,16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention The individual doses can not be claimed as a product produced by a process especially when the number of different kinds of doses that can be produced is indefinite and could include any pre-existing encapsulated medicine.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5,10-14,17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zamzow et al. USPN 4,712,511 in view of Hoff USPN 5,853,244.

(1,10,17,22) Zamzow teaches an automated system which uses a plurality of databases to form individualized dosages for each patient consisting of pellets, granules or other forms. Though Zamzow does not state that it mixes in medication this is a common practice, exemplified by Hoff. Zamzow has a filling device but does not disclose filling capsules but there is no fundamental difference between the two operations the medicine could be dispensed to a different transport mechanism instead of food. Changing the delivery mechanism from food to a more traditional capsule or dry mix would be obvious change to one skilled in the art as they are art recognized alternatives.

(Re claim 2,11) the system of Zamzow/Hoff is usable to produce multiple batches at one time or through out the day for each individual.

(Re claim 3,12) Zamzow/Hoff is usable for multiple patients.

(Re claim 4,13) Zamzow/Hoff teaches a plurality of medicaments or other substances to be combined for a single dosage.

(Re claim 5,14) Zamzow/Hoff system is capable of repeating the process until the order is complete.

(Re claim 18 and 23) Zamzow/Hoff discloses a continuous measuring of ingredients being supplied to verify quantity.

Art Unit: 3651

Claims 6-8,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zamzow/Hoff. Claims 6-8 are directed to the specifics of the "pellets". The MPEP states that "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim" Exparte *Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bc. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or articles worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." *In re Young*, 75 F.2d \*>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458,459 (CCPA)). The particular composition of the pellets does not effect the system which calculates dispenses and mixes the medication and there for provides no patentable weight.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-21,24 and 25 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPNs 5,672,154, 3,554,412, 6,286,567 and 7,295,889.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY R. WAGGONER whose telephone number is (571)272-8204. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8am-2pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,120 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**TRW** 

/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651